

MINUTES
ELKHART COUNTY PLAN COMMISSION MEETING
HELD ON THE 11TH DAY OF AUGUST 2022 AT 9:30 A.M. IN THE
MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING
117 N. 2ND ST., GOSHEN, INDIANA

1. The regular meeting of the Elkhart County Plan Commission was called to order by the Chairman, Jeff Burbrink. The following staff members were present: Chris Godlewski, Plan Director; Jason Auvil, Planning Manager; Mae Kratzer, Planner; Danny Dean, Planner; and James W. Kolbus, Attorney for the Board.

Roll Call.

Present: Phil Barker, Steve Edwards, Steve Warner, Lori Snyder, Tom Stump, Jeff Burbrink, Roger Miller, Brian Dickerson, Frank Luchesse.

2. A motion was made and seconded (*Warner/Edwards*) that the minutes of the last regular meeting of the Elkhart County Plan Commission, held on the 14th day of July 2022, be approved with corrections that will be corrected by staff. The motion was carried with a unanimous vote.

3. A motion was made and seconded (*Miller/Edwards*) that the Elkhart County Zoning Ordinance and Elkhart County Subdivision Control Ordinance be accepted as evidence for today's hearings. The motion was carried with a unanimous vote.

4. The application for an amendment to an existing DPUD E-3 known as ELKHART EAST AREA B PHASE 3 to allow for a free standing sign, for Way Innovation Park LLC represented by Nuway Construction, on property located on the South side of Innovation Dr. 1,100 ft. east of CR 15, common address of 22244 Innovation Dr. in Osolo Township, zoned DPUD, E-3, was presented at this time.

Jason Auvil presented the Staff Report/Staff Analysis, which is attached for review as *Case #DPUD-0525-2022*.

Tim Wall, 2119 Carmen Ct., Goshen, Nuway construction, was present representing the petitioner. He stated that it is a big sign on a big building, and welcomed any questions the board may have.

There were no remonstrators present.

A motion was made and seconded (*Miller/Luchese*) that the public hearing be closed, and the motion was carried with a unanimous vote.

The Board examined said request, and after due consideration and deliberation:

Motion: Action: Approve, **Moved by** Steve Edwards, **Seconded by** Tom Stump that the Advisory Plan Commission recommend to the Board of County Commissioners that this request for an amendment to an existing DPUD E-3 known as ELKHART EAST AREA B PHASE 3 to allow for a free standing sign be approved in accordance with the Staff Analysis.

Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (**summary:** Yes = 9).

Yes: Phil Barker, Steve Edwards, Steve Warner, Lori Snyder, Tom Stump, Jeff Burbrink, Roger

Miller, Brian Dickerson, Frank Lucchese.

5. The application for a zone map change from DPUD B-3 & PUD B-3 to DPUD B-3 and for primary approval of a 1-lot minor subdivision to be known as STORAGE ON SIX DPUD B-3, for Storage on Six (Buyer) & ALR Inc. C/O Larry Renbarger (Seller) represented by J. A. Wagner Construction, Inc., on property located on the south side of CR 6, 690 ft. west of CR 11, common address of 25135 Rex St. in Osolo Township, zoned PUD B-3, DPUD B-3, was presented at this time.

Jason Auvil presented the Staff Report/Staff Analysis, which is attached for review as *Case #RZ-0541-2022*.

Chris Marbach, 4220 Southview Dr., Elkhart, SAM, was present representing the petitioner. He stated that back in 1989 it went to a commercial site as a PUD, and in 2001 the west half was added as a commercial site as another DPUD. He went on to say since then no one has built on it, even though it has been designated as commercial. He continued to say there are no plans for outdoor speakers or equipment that causes vibration. He went on to say the lighting will be down cast mounted on all sides of the buildings, there won't be any pole lighting. He stated this site already has an existing driveway. He continued to say there will be no onsite employees, no restrooms, but there will be a well onsite for irrigation purposes. He added there will be no outside storage and this project will be built in two phases, with the east 5 buildings being built first. He stated the buffering will be chain link fence around the entire perimeter with 5ft arborvitae. Mrs. Snyder asked how many units will be on this site. Mr. Marbach stated he was unsure of how many units there will be. Mr. Marbach stated they were unsure on the size of the units, they are talking about temperature control and non-temperature control units. He went on to say they will build out the units based on needs of the customers. Mr. Miller asked about large storage units for RVs. Mr. Marbach stated there would be no storage units for RVs.

There were no remonstrators present.

A motion was made and seconded (*Lucchese/Snyder*) that the public hearing be closed, and the motion was carried with a unanimous vote.

The Board examined said request, and after due consideration and deliberation:

Motion: Action: Approve, **Moved by** Roger Miller, **Seconded by** Brian Dickerson that the Advisory Plan Commission recommend to the Board of County Commissioners that this request for a zone map change from DPUD B-3 & PUD B-3 to DPUD B-3 and for primary approval of a 1-lot minor subdivision to be known as STORAGE ON SIX DPUD B-3 be approved in accordance with the Staff Analysis.

Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (**summary:** Yes = 9).

Yes: Phil Barker, Steve Edwards, Steve Warner, Lori Snyder, Tom Stump, Jeff Burbrink, Roger Miller, Brian Dickerson, Frank Lucchese.

6. The application for a zone map change from A-1 and DPUD A-1 to AE A-1, for Showalter Farms, Inc. & Bruce A. Showalter, Steven G. Showalter, Michael K. Showalter & Robert Moser & Donna Moser Living Trust represented by Jones Petrie Rafinski, on property located on the west side of SR 13 between Long Ditch and CR 146; south side of CR 146 between SR 13 and CR 43; west side of CR 43 between CR 146 and a point 614 ft. south of Dry Run Ditch; east side of SR 13 between CR 148 and a point 842 ft. south of CR 148; north side of CR 148 between SR 13 and a point 1,258 ft. west of SR 13; south side of CR 146 between SR 13 and a point 1,622 ft. west of SR 13; north side of CR 146 between SR 13 and CR 137; south side of CR 46, 1,402 ft. west of SR 13, common address of 00000 SR 13 in Benton Township, zoned A-1, DPUD A-1, was presented at this time.

Jason Auvil presented the Staff Report/Staff Analysis, which is attached for review as *Case #RZ-0540-2022*.

Kenneth Jones Jr., 300 Nibco Parkway, Elkhart, JPR, was present representing the petitioner. He stated this project is large, however it is for a passive land use. He went on to note everything that has been involved in getting these plans ready. He also stated the last time this project was presented the biggest concern was the lack of standards in place in Elkhart County to deal with this type of land use. He stated the buffers go beyond the standards that have been asked.

Sara Mills, Development Director at Savion, 422 Admiral Blvd. Kansas City, MO, was present representing the petitioner. Mrs. Mills introduced the Savion team. She submitted a power point presentation [*Attached to file as Petitioner Exhibit #101*]. Mr. Miller asked how many inverters would be placed on site. Mrs. Mills stated there would be about 20 at this location.

Tom Benson, 8350 US Highway 23 North, Delaware, OH, Energy safety response group. Mr. Benson stated the purpose of this company is to working with other companies and as a consultant for safety response with manufactures and energy facilities that could be potential fire hazards. He went on to say they are used to work with local first responders and teaching them how to manage incidents safely. Mr. Miller asked for a definition of an emergency at a solar facility. Mr. Benson responded a fire rare but a possibility. Mr. Miller asked how a fire would start. Mr. Benson stated when talking about solar, humans would be the biggest factor, for example when someone is working on it. He went on to say non-human factors could be water intrusion into a connection box. He added the panels themselves do not have a lot of fuel load to them, and that surrounding vegetation would be the biggest fuel load with-in the facility. He continued to say they would train local fire departments how to aggressively suppress these fires, it confined with-in the perimeter. Mr. Miller asked with farms like this, how many incidents have happened or been recorded. Mr. Benson stated when looking at solar related fire data since 2015, there have been less than a thousand reported fires. Mrs. Snyder asked if he would be helping our local fire departments to become prepared. Mr. Benson stated that was correct, they would be working directly with Benton Township as well as surrounding area first responders. He continued to say that this plan is site specific. Mr. Warner asked what the total volume of batteries was for this project. Mrs. Mills stated there is no current battery storage on this project. Mr. Warner asked how many inverters are involved. Mrs. Mills stated there would be 20 to 30 inverters, typically one per every 5 megawatts.

Michael MaRous, 1550 N. Northwest Highway Suite 401, Park Ridge, IL, MaRous & Company. Mr. MaRous stated he has reviewed many solar projects from New York out to the western states. He continued to state what is reviewed are the setbacks, density, and potential development in the local county. He stated that the local economy is a strong economy with the recreational vehicle

production and distribution, as well as agricultural. He went on to say what has been seen with successful large scale solar development is it becomes another part of a commodity for ag industry, since it's stable, doesn't create noise or traffic. The economics of it is strong, and adds significant rent to the property owners. He continued to state his report talks about homes and impacts on their property value. He stated it has been an economic benefit to the area and the property values have gone up. He stated since he went over this project a year ago 80% of the residential sales has been at or above asking price. Mr. MaRouse stated there has been peer review studies that have had solar projects with no negative economic impacts. Mr. Warner asked if the depreciation of property after the project was put in as a factor. He then asked if in, Mr. MaRouse's experience as an appraiser, that the property values do not decline because they have already been lowered by existing transmission lines. Mr. MaRouse responded in the case of transmission lines, they are generally not positive for immediate residential development, general transmission lines in a rural population for residential developers will not go near them due to the setback restrictions. Mr. Miller asked if there will be a maintenance person or an inspector that will come to the solar farm on a regular basis. Mrs. Mills responded there will be a maintenance person that will come and check on the facility, but the frequency is unknown at this time. Mr. Warner asked if solar farms tend to draw lightning to their facilities, and if there is extra lightning protection for this development plan. Mrs. Mills responded that there is a potential for lightning strikes, however a lightning rod will be at the facility. Mr. Dickerson asked if there would be preventative maintenance in the fall and the spring for the inverters.

Falon Kidder, Licensed Professional Engineer, Savion, 422 Admiral Blvd., Kansas City, MO, was present for the petitioner. She explained that typically for solar fields, there is preventative maintenance, and a general checking for the DC combiner boxes, which is the collection point for the DC strings. She went on to say the maintenance will be done every 6 months, and maintenance will also be done on the transformers and the inverters. She continued to say this is to make sure none of the fuses have blown, and the maintenance is on a routine schedule with safety being considered the upmost important. Mr. Dickerson asked if Savion will remotely monitor the transformers and inverters. Mrs. Kidder responded that there are remote systems on site that will be monitored, and if an inverter sends out an alarm then someone will go to the site to check on the invertors. Mr. Dickerson asked if the alarms are normally installed in the transformers. Mrs. Kidder responded that is something that most developers install. MR. Lucchese asked, in regards to cleaning, what the preventative maintenance is for the solar panels to stay efficient. Mrs. Mills responded that the tilting of the solar panel will keep the snow off of the panels. She went on to say there is a weather station on site so that they can keep track of weather patterns. Mr. Warner stated this region is known to be cloudier and then asked how that impacts their plan. Mrs. Mills responded when someone goes out on a cloudy day, they can still get sun burned, and the sun still gets through the clouds, it may not be as efficient as in some other areas, but the solar panels have gotten more efficient over the years. She explained that solar panels will get sunlight off of the reflection of the snow from the back of the panels as well.

Brad Hooley, 14389 CR 22, Goshen, IN, was present representing the landowners, Robert and Donna Moser. Mr. Hooley stated that Mr. Moser started assembling this land back in the 1960's. He stated this project is viewed as a family farm preservation and that Solar Zoning Ordinance was created and prepared by the planning staff for this very reason. He continued to say that Savion has put in a lot of attention to the details in the plan they presented today, and that this is a quiet operation. He stressed that the Moser's at one time were the largest hog farmers in the state of Indiana, and that

no one would rather live next to a hog farm than a solar operation. He stated that in regards to property value, the Amish community has their own economy and land is in short supply. Therefore property values will rise not fall. He stated he has a solar array at his home and has plans to add onto it as it is helping him out financially.

Robert Moser, 1306 Pebble Ct., Goshen, was present for this petition. He stated he has owned and lived on this property since the 1960. Also, in 1950 they started farming for his uncle, and used that money to start their own farm. He went on to say they were surprised to be approached by Savion for this solar project. With the push for cleaner energy, they thought this would be a good idea. He stressed there is no noise or pollution, and the farm can be turned back into a crop-growing farm when this is all done. He stated there were people that had concerns during the last meeting that aren't even their neighbors, as they live in Goshen, New Paris, Nappanee, and they won't be affected by this.

Jason Auvil presented emails and letters in support *[Placed in file as Staff Exhibits #5-11]*.

James Loewen, 314 S. 6th St., Goshen, was present in support of this request. He stated he is in support of the project and that the developers have met all requirements to be able to move forward. He went on to say that there is a lot of give and take and with the new proposal they have added more restrictive setbacks and buffering. He stressed that Elkhart County is viewed as a hardworking county, and that goes for industrial and agricultural uses that produce goods that society benefits from, and this solar farm will be a benefit to society.

Jay Little, 1300 Eden St., Elkhart, was present in support of this request. He asked if Mr. Dickerson's family business will be involved in the project. He stated he wanted to know how the run-off will be affected because the run-off from a hay field versus the run-off of a bean field are different. He went on to say the City of Goshen has a solar array for the wastewater treatment plant and it is using 80 to 85% of the city's energy to run that treatment plant, and there is a reason why they put in a solar array next to the treatment plant.

James Gardner, 60125 CR 21, Goshen, was present in support of the request. He stated this will be great for workforce development in Indiana, and they are reaching out to trade representatives, local schools, and seniors that have just graduated to educate and bring the skills locally for this kind of industry. He stressed that Savion will be using local contractors.

Chris Decker, 300 Nibco Parkway, Elkhart, was present in support of this request. He stated that there are tax benefits and job creation that come with this project. He explained that 8% of the power for Indiana comes from outside the region and that is a problem for Elkhart County. He continued to say that this region is sensitive towards its agricultural areas and it will send a message of the progressiveness of Elkhart County if this isn't approved.

Reed Davis, 495 Morning Oaks Dr., Goshen, was present in support of this request. He stated for the last 3 years he and his company have been advocating in the area for solar panels. He went on to explain they come at this from different angles, first as proud Americans, and if this is viewed in the lens of property rights, that is something vital to the country's history. He continued to say that Mr. Moser should be able to do, as a private landowner, what he wants to do on his land. He stated that secondly there has been a lot of discussion surrounding a study that was done at Michigan State University that claims to show that there is a negative impact on agricultural land from the solar farms. He stressed that he reached out to the author of the study and the author wrote a letter stating that in reality solar farms have generated and saved billions of dollars for the state of Michigan.

Paul Steury, 303 Reservoir Pl., Goshen, was present in support of this request. He stated he is in support of private property rights and as an environmental educator he has been pushing for

renewables for a long time. He went on to say that in regards to gray skies, Goshen is ranked 7th in solar energy created per capita. He stressed solar is becoming more and more efficient and LaGrange County has a 1,600 acre solar farm going in that will be completed in 2025. Marshall County is also putting in a solar farm.

Jason Auvil presented emails in remonstrance to this project *[Placed in file as Staff Exhibit #1-4]*.

Amanda Bowling, 12058 CR 148, Ligonier, was present in remonstrance. She stated there is research done that rebuts the statements that property values won't be harmed, and there is a perceived decline in the housing market on properties that are around solar complexes. She stressed that these can be called a farm but it is an industrial complex and should be identified as such, and it will impact and negatively affect animals. She continued to say that the Benton fire department is by volunteer only, they are not fully staffed, and they are not equipped to handle explosions, fires, and other chemical hazards as a result of the batteries that will be stored onsite. She went on to say that no matter what anyone says, lithium does degrade over time inside of the battery, and there is a potential for run-off of contamination for wells. She asked if her well gets contaminated, she is supposed to turn to bottled water. She reiterated that these are detrimental, not wanted, and are industrial complexes, not farms.

James Kuhlenschmidt, Elkhart County Farm Bureau, 22468 Spicewood Dr., Goshen, was present in remonstrance. He stated the main concern is already a food supply shortage with rising food cost nationwide and using the large farm ground area that produces a great deal of food will cause more issues. He continued to say that another concern is the waste issue, because it leaves behind a bunch of nonrecyclable waste. He explained that in California, they are already having to deal with this waste, as their panels are reaching the end of life. Further, he explained this is called universal waste, because it isn't hazardous waste yet, and it is being put in warehouses. He stated that there is very little salvageable material within the solar panels and in the state of Washington they require the solar developers to take back their panels after they are done. He explained the current solar ordinance is completely not efficient because it ignores the issue, and it does not contain a detailed site decontamination and transportation requirement that ensures future taxpayers don't have to pay for these cost. He stressed that the more renewable energy is on the grid the higher the cost of electricity.

Layton Pergrem, 12783 CR 52, Syracuse, was present in remonstrance. He stated he is a resident of a centennial farm, which means the family has been there for generations, and he wants everyone to know the facts regarding this petition. He explained he doesn't make a dime whether or not this gets passed, though no one knows what it will bring in the future. He went on to say he sees all the research facts, but there is no using the land after the solar panels are removed. Even though Savion is saying that it can be farmed again, the facts don't imply that to be true. Further, he stated the politicians want to approve this because they will get votes from the people because this is so new.

Adam Young, 11335 CR 146, Millersburg, was present in remonstrance. He stated he grew up on a farm and when he moved to Elkhart County, he moved here to continue to enjoy the crops, farms, and wildlife that the county has to offer. He showed on the aerial how close his house is to the subject property. He went on to say that if he were looking to buy in rural Elkhart County with having to look at a solar farm or choosing to buy in rural Noble County with looking at farmland, it would be an easy decision. He continued to say that there was a study done in Wisconsin and it was found that the solar company purchased the land surrounding the solar farm, so it didn't show a decline in value. He stressed there were other reports found where the property values did decline, where the

data wasn't skewed by the solar companies themselves. He reiterated his concerns are for the property value of his home, and Mr. Moser has a right to do what he wants with this land as long as it isn't negatively affecting the value of his land. He stated that the sales for seeds, machinery, fertilizer, and crops will all be negatively be affected by this because they won't have that money to farm this land. He stressed there is toxic material in the solar panels, and they are only saying they will try to hire locally but that isn't a guarantee.

Kathryn Fry, 12399 CR 146, Millersburg, was present in remonstrance. She asked what her rights are as a private landowner in this whole situation with having to be surrounded by a huge complex of solar panels. She stated she has a relationship with the Amish community, and none of the Amish she has spoken to are for this petition. She continued to say that as soon as people hear that there is a possibility of solar going in next to her property, they are no longer interested in buying her home.

Sara Mills came back on to respond. She stated she knows there are a lot of questions about battery storage, but they are not proposing storage at this time. Mr. Burbrink asked Staff, if Savion decides to do battery storage later, whether they would have to come back for permission. Mr. Godlewski responded that depends on how the Board will vote if they add any conditions or commitments, though this is a straight rezone at this time they would be able to add storage without permission if there weren't any conditions or commitments. Mrs. Mills stated Savion is not against any conditions or commitments being added by the Board. She went on to say the site is designed to handle the top wind speeds, hail, and snowstorms and if a panel breaks they will replace the panel. She explained the panels are made of tightly bounded glass so if it does break it will not shatter. The panels will splinter and crack on the top without getting into the material underneath.

Sara Moser, Director of Farming Operations, Conley, OH, was present for this request. She explained her passion right now is trying to figure out how to farm solar fields and she is working with Ohio State University to make a hay and alfalfa mix between the rows as there is 17 feet of clearance. She continued to say that most farmers lease the land they farm and if the landowner dies, they won't be able to continue farming the land unless they buy it or the next owner allows them to continue to farm. She stressed there is an expert speaking about how property values aren't being negatively affected, and there are now people buying houses that are next to windmills. Mr. Burbrink asked her to talk about runoff from the panels. Mrs. Moser responded the solar panels are nontoxic with some hard metals in them that are the same as those in cell phones. She continued to say that the solar panels are tested to ensure that they don't contain more toxic material than what is allowed, and they are soaked in acid baths to make sure the panels aren't going have leaks that will add toxins to the well water. Mr. Burbrink clarified the question was about rainwater runoff. Mrs. Moser responded that the flow of water through a hay field is different from the ow through row crops, which is why they plant filter strips up to the ditches, so there is grass bed under the panels to ensure the water flows naturally. Further, she stated she knows there is systematic tiling in this area, but the drain tile will be fixed and repaired to the point where this will drain better than before. Mr. Miller asked whether a 100-acre soybean field would generate more runoff than a 100-acre solar farm. Ms. Moser responded there will be the same amount of water runoff, but it will be filtered better on the solar farm than on the bean field.

Drew Gibbins, Atlanta, GA, was present for this request. He stated the reason why the property values aren't being affected is that once these projects are built, there is no noise, minimal traffic, and no smells, and these projects give money to the communities in the surrounding area.

Mike MaRouse came back on to respond to concerns. He stated he hasn't seen any of the information that was provided by a previous speaker. He continued to explain that the University of Texas did a study in which they reached out to the assessors in the areas where solar developments have been put in, and these assessors found no negative impacts on property values. He went on to say another study in Rhode Island and Massachusetts did find some negative impacts, but they were only studying suburban markets with population densities of less than 850 people per square mile and found no negative property values. He stressed that property owners have a right to control the view on their own property.

Mr. Miller asked if Savion is willing to accept a condition stating no storage onsite if this gets approved. Mrs. Mills responded they would be willing to accept that and come back to the Board for approval time for storage. She reiterated that when it comes to an emergency plan, they will be working towards ensuring that local fire departments and first responders have the knowledge to handle those situations.

Tom Benson came back on to respond. He stated that if a fire were to occur onsite, that is why they have made an emergency response plan, and there will be a process in place and training done prior to the development with all the first responders in the area. He continued to say that in basic terms the first responders will be trained to manage the situation, not manage the fire, because the vegetation will be the biggest issue, not the panels themselves. He stressed it is more managing the situation than attacking the situation. Mr. Warner asked if he would agree that the 30 inverters in the project would be significant as potential points of fire more than the panels themselves. Mr. Benson responded the inverters would be potential points of fire, and they look residential hazards more than commercial ones because of the human factor. Further, he stated fire departments don't generally respond to electrical facilities or electrical substations a whole lot because there isn't a human factor, though there are safeties and remote operation centers.

Sara Mills came back on. She stated the inverters will be on a concrete pad then dirt so they are not surrounded by vegetation, as well as there will be regular maintenance.

A motion was made and seconded (*Dickerson/Miller*) that the public hearing be closed, and the motion was carried with a unanimous vote.

Mr. Dickerson stated the only real concern he had was the storage, and he realizes there are property rights on both sides, though there is a property owner here that wants to do something with his property, and more often than not the property owner shouldn't be restricted. He went on to say that in his opinion smokestacks from an industrial building are a lot different from solar panels. He continued to say that there is some level of control on the batteries onsite. Further, he stated in 10 years the technology will be different, and they shouldn't have to come back to the Board to remove battery restrictions. He stressed he doesn't feel there is grounds to deny as long as they are in compliance with the new ordinance, and he believes this does fall in compliance. Mr. Warner stated that looking back at the first time this came to the Board, it was denied and the Board didn't realize how big of a land use decision this really is. He went on to say that this is the biggest decision the Plan Commission has ever addressed and the Plan Commission's real focus is land use, and with the size and the unknowns of the life of the panels and the life of the company itself, there are all kinds of factors that need to be put into this decision. Mr. Burbrink explained that the Plan Commission's job is to make a recommendation to the Commissioners, not to make a final decision on whether or not this development will happen. Mr. Miller stated that the Board is not here to decide whether or

not solar panels are viable. He continued to say the Board is here to decide whether or not the landowner can put them on his property. Mrs. Snyder added that all the Board members have put in their own research since the first time this came to the Board. Mr. Burbrink stated that he believes that some people's property values will be impacted, and he wishes Savion would step in and solve some of the problems of the properties that will be surrounded completely by the solar field. Mr. Dickerson stressed that after the buffer grows it will block the solar panels from view.

The Board examined said request, and after due consideration and deliberation:

Motion: Action: Approve, **Moved by** Tom Stump, **Seconded by** Roger Miller that the Advisory Plan Commission recommend to the Board of County Commissioners that this request for a zone map change from A-1 and DPUD A-1 to AE A-1 be approved in accordance with the Staff Analysis.

Vote: Motion passed (**summary:** Yes = 8, No = 1, Abstain = 0).

Yes: Phil Barker, Steve Edwards, Lori Snyder, Tom Stump, Jeff Burbrink, Roger Miller, Brian Dickerson, Frank Luchesse.

No: Steve Warner.

****Mr. Dickerson left at this time****

7. Board of County Commissioners Approvals Following Plan Commission Recommendations

A motion was made and seconded (*Miller/Burbrink*) that the meeting be adjourned. The motion was carried with a unanimous vote, and the meeting was adjourned at 11:43 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Amber Weiss, Recording Secretary

Jeff Burbrink, Chairman